The C-terminal Tail of CRTH2 Is a Key Molecular Determinant That Constrains Gαi and Downstream Signaling Cascade Activation
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Prostaglandin D2 (PGD2) activation of the seven-transmembrane receptor CRTH2 regulates numerous cell functions that are important in inflammatory diseases, such as asthma. Despite its disease implication, no studies to date aimed at identifying receptor domains governing signaling and surface expression of human CRTH2. We tested the hypothesis that CRTH2 may take advantage of its C-tail to silence its own signaling and that this mechanism may explain the poor functional responses observed with CRTH2 in heterologous expression systems. Although the C terminus is a critical determinant for retention of CRTH2 at the plasma membrane, the presence of this domain confers a signaling-compromised conformation onto the receptor. Indeed, a mutant receptor lacking the major portion of its C-terminal tail displays paradoxically enhanced Gαi and ERK1/2 activation despite enhanced constitutive and agonist-mediated internalization. Enhanced activation of Gαi proteins and downstream signaling cascades is probably due to the inability of the tail-truncated receptor to recruit β-arrestin2 and undergo homologous desensitization. Unexpectedly, CRTH2 is not phosphorylated upon agonist-stimulation, a primary mechanism by which GPCR activity is regulated. Dynamic mass redistribution assays, which allow label-free monitoring of all major G protein pathways in real time, confirm that the C terminus inhibits Gαi signaling of CRTH2 but does not encode G protein specificity determinants. We propose that intrinsic CRTH2 inhibition by its C terminus may represent a rather unappreciated strategy employed by a GPCR to specify the extent of G protein activation and that this mechanism may compensate for the absence of the classical phosphorylation-dependent signal attenuation.
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lar determinants that govern expression, regulation, signaling, or trafficking of human CRTH2, knowledge that could aid in the development of novel therapeutic principles to combat allergic diseases, have yet to be fully elucidated. We suggest that this dearth of information may be due, at least in part, to the poor functional performance of CRTH2 in recombinant expression systems, which are required to study receptor behavior of wild type and genetically engineered variants. During the course of our study, we were intrigued by the poor efficacy of PGD$_2$ caused by miniscule receptor activation as compared with other bona fide G$_{i/o}$-selective receptors. In an attempt to understand the regulatory mechanisms that define the extent of CRTH2 signaling, we have identified the C-terminal tail region of CRTH2 as a key molecular determinant that constrains G protein-dependent signaling events. We propose that CRTH2 utilizes its C-terminal tail to limit acute or chronic overstimulation and that this mechanism might compensate for the absence of the classical negative feedback regulation, which is dependent on the concerted action of G protein-coupled receptor kinases, second messenger-dependent kinases, and arrestin proteins.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials and Reagents**—Tissue culture media and reagents were purchased from Invitrogen. Epic$^\text{®}$ biosensor microplates (cell culture-compatible) and compound source plates were from Corning Inc. 5-Oxo-eicosatetraenoic acid, PGD$_2$ (Bioloz), $[^3]$H]PGD$_2$,$[^35]$S]GTPγS (PerkinElmer Life Sciences), mouse M1 monoclonal antibody, poly-D-lysine (Sigma), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG$_2$b antibody (Invitrogen), nico-
tinic acid (Sigma), and interleukin-8 (PeproTech EC) were pur-
shased as indicated. All other laboratory reagents were from Sigma unless explicitly specified. Synthesis of the CRTH2 antagonist TRQ11238 was described previously (24). Chemerin was a kind gift of Professor Girolamo Calo (University of Italy and UFP Epitides, Ferrara).

**DNA Constructs**—The cDNA encoding human CRTH2 (GenBank$^{\text{TM}}$ accession number NM_004778) was amplified by PCR from a human hippocampus cDNA library and inserted into the pcDNA3.1($\scriptscriptstyle +$) expression vector (Invitrogen) via 5’ HindIII and 3’ EcoRI. To create a mutant CRTH2 receptor lacking the entire C terminus except for the putative helix 8 (herein referred to as CRTH2 ΔCtail), a STOP codon was introduced by PCR mutagenesis after amino acid Arg$^{317}$, and the truncated receptor was cloned into pcDNA3.1($\scriptscriptstyle +$) via 5’ HindIII and 3’ EcoRI. Construction of the chimeric G protein Gα$_s$G66Di5 in the pcDNA3.1($\scriptscriptstyle +$) ZEO expression vector was reported previously (25). The high affinity nicotinic acid receptor homM74a (26) was cloned from adipose tissue and inserted into pcDNA3.1($\scriptscriptstyle +$) via HindIII/EcoRI sites. The OX receptor (27) and the chemerin receptor ChemR23 (28) were cloned from human leukocyte cDNA and inserted via 5’ HindIII, 3’ EcoRI and 5’ BamHI, 3’ EcoRI, respectively, into pcDNA3.1($\scriptscriptstyle +$). Correctness of the constructs was verified by restriction endonuclease digestion and sequencing in both directions (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany).

**Cell Culture and Transfection**—COS-7 and HEK293 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supple-
manted with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, 1% sodium pyruvate, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and kept at 37 °C in a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. To facilitate functional analysis of CRTH2 wild type (WT) and CRTH2 ΔCtail, respectively, stable HEK293 cell clones were established using media containing 500 μg/ml G418 and the FLAG-tagged versions of the receptors. For transient transfections, the calcium phosphate DNA precipitation method was used as previously described (7). For functional inositol phosphate assays, HEK293 cells were transiently cotransfected with CRTH2 WT or CRTH2 ΔCtail and a promiscuous Gα protein facilitating inositol phosphate production by a G$_i$-selective CRTH2 receptor (25).

**Membrane Preparation**—48 h after transfection, HEK293 cells were harvested, and cell pellets were resuspended in an ice-cold buffer containing 20 mM HEPES and 10 mM EDTA. Then cells were ruptured using Dounce homogenization. Nuclei were pelleted (800 × g, 10 min, 4 °C), and the post-
nuclear supernatant was then fractionated (30,000 × g, 30 min, 4 °C) into membrane pellets and supernatants. Pellets were resuspended in buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, 0.1 mM EDTA, and a protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) and stored at −80 °C. Membrane protein concentrations were quantified with protein assay kit (Pierce) using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

**Whole Cell Binding Experiments**—24 h after transfection, HEK293 cells were seeded into poly-D-lysine-coated 96-well plates at a density of 30,000 cells/well. Competition binding experiments on whole cells were then performed ~18–24 h later using 1.0 nM $[^3]$H]PGD$_2$ (172 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in a binding buffer consisting of HBSS and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. Total and nonspecific binding were determined in the absence and presence of 10 μM PGD$_2$, respectively. Binding reactions were conducted for 3 h at 4 °C and were terminated by two washes (100 μl each) with ice-cold binding buffer. Radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting in a TopCount liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) (27% counting efficiency) after overnight incubation in Microscint 20. Binding assays using stable HEK293 cell clones were performed as described above. Saturation binding analysis on stable HEK293 cell clones was performed essentially as described previously (7). Briefly, increasing concentrations of $[^3]$H]PGD$_2$ (specific activity 172 Ci/mmol) were incubated with cells for 3 h at 4 °C in the absence or presence of 10 μM unlabeled PGD$_2$.

**Membrane Binding Experiments**—Cell membranes from transiently transfected HEK293 cells (15 μg of protein) were incubated with 1.0 nM $[^3]$H]PGD$_2$ (172 Ci/mmol) in a binding buffer consisting of HBSS and 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) under continuous shaking at 4 °C for 3 h. Total and nonspecific bind-
ing were determined in the absence and presence of 10 μM PGD$_2$, respectively. For inhibition of binding by GTP, varying concentrations of GTP were added to the binding mixture. The receptor-bound radioligand was filtered on a Tomtech 96-well Mach III Harvester (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) using filters presoaked with 0.1% polyethyleneimine (Filtermat A; PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Filtration was immediately fol-
dowed by three rinses with ice-cold 100 mM NaCl. Thereafter,
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scintillation wax (Meltilex A; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) was melted onto the dried Filtermat. The filters were placed in sample bags (PerkinElmer Life Sciences), and filter-bound radioactivity was measured using a Microbeta Trilux-1450 scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Determinations were made in triplicates in two independent experiments.

[^25]S]GTPyS Binding Assays—Scintillation proximity assays were carried out using 15 μg of membrane protein in GTPyS binding buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, and 10 μg/ml saponin) with 50 nCi of [^25]S]GTPyS, 1 μM GDP, and 0.4 mg of wheat germ agglutinin-coupled scintillation proximity assay beads (RPNQ0001; GE Healthcare), as described before (29).

Inositol Phosphate (IP) Accumulation Assays—24 h after transfection, cells were seeded in poly-d-lysine-coated 96-well tissue culture plates and loaded with 0.5 μCi of [2-[^3]H]myo-inositol (TRK911; Amersham Biosciences). The next day, cells were washed twice in HBSS buffer (including CaCl₂ and MgCl₂) and stimulated with the respective agonists in HBSS buffer supplemented with 10 mM LiCl for 45 min at 37 °C. The reactions were terminated by aspiration and the addition of 50 μl of 10 mM ice-cold formic acid/water. After a 90-min incubation on ice, 20 μl of the resulting cell extract was transferred to 80 μl of yttrium silicate scintillation proximity assay beads (12.5 mg/ml; Amersham Biosciences), and shaken for 60 min at 4 °C. Yttrium silicate beads were centrifuged to settle and incubated overnight at 4 °C before counting on a TopCount microplate scintillation counter.

cAMP Accumulation Assays—Inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in HEK293 cells stably expressing either CRTH2 WT or CRTH2 ΔCtail was performed using the HTRF-β-cAMP dynamic kit (CIS Bio International, Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France). In brief, cells were resuspended in assay buffer (HBSS, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine) and dispersed in 384-well microplates at a density of 50,000 cells/well. After preincubation in assay buffer for 30 min, cells were stimulated with PGD₂ in the presence of 5 μM forskolin for 30 min at room temperature. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 50 μM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 1 μM GDP, and 1.25% Triton X-100 containing HTRF-β-cAMP assay reagents. The assay was incubated 60 min at room temperature, and time-resolved FRET signals were measured after excitation at 320 nm using the Mithras LB 940 multimode reader (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). Data analysis was made based on the fluorescence ratio emitted by labeled cAMP (665 nm) over the light emitted by the europium cryptate-labeled anti-cAMP (620 nm). Levels of cAMP were normalized to the amount of cAMP elevated by 5 μM forskolin alone.

Biotin Protection Degradation Assay—Biotin Protection Degradation Assay—HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or CRTH2 ΔCtail were grown to confluence on poly-d-lysine-treated 10-cm plates. Cells were treated with 0.3 mg/ml disulfide-cleavable biotin (Pierce) at 4 °C for 30 min and washed in TBSC (137 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-base, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂), the cells were permeabilized in blotto (3% milk, 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5), stained with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG2b antibody (1:500, 20 min), washed three times in TBSC, and mounted on glass microslides using Vectashield mounting medium.

Biotin Protection Degradation Assay—HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or CRTH2 ΔCtail were grown to confluence in poly-d-lysine-precoated 10-cm plates. Cells were treated with 0.3 mg/ml disulfide-cleavable biotin (Pierce) at 4 °C for 30 min and washed in TBSC (137 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-base, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂). Cells were then incubated at 37 °C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium for 20 min before adding 10 μM PGD₂ or left untreated for another 30 min. The two control plates, 100% and strip, remained at 4 °C in TBSC. Except for the 100% plate, all plates were washed in phosphate-buffered saline, and remaining cell surface bound biotin was removed in strip buffer (50 mM glutathione, 75 mM NaCl, 75 mM NaOH, 1% fetal bovine serum) at 4 °C for 30 min. All plates were then quenched in buffer containing 9 mg/ml iodoacetamide and 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin at 4 °C for 20 min, followed by cell lysis in IPB (150 mM NaCl, 25 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 7.4, with added protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche Applied Science) and 1 mg/ml iodoacetamide). Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 10,000 × g at 4 °C for 10 min, and lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG M2 antibodies linked with rabbit anti-mouse linker antibodies to Protein A-Sepharose beads.
at 4 °C, washed extensively, and treated with peptide:N-glycosidase F at 37 °C for 1 h. Samples were denatured in nonreducing SDS sample buffer, resolved by SDS-PAGE using 4–20% Tris-glycine precast gels (Invitrogen), transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, overlaid with streptavidin (Vectastain ABC immunoperoxidase reagent; Vector Laboratories), and developed with ECL plus reagents (Amersham Biosciences).

Dynamic Mass Redistribution (DMR) Assays (Corning Epic® Biosensor Measurements)—A beta version of the Corning® Epic® system was used, consisting of a temperature control unit, an optical detection unit, and an on-board robotic liquid handling device. Briefly, each well in the 384-well Epic® microplate contains a resonant wave guide grating biosensor. The system measures changes in the local index of refraction upon mass redistribution within the cell monolayer grown on the biosensor. Ligand-induced DMR in living cells is manifest as a shift in the wavelength of light that is reflected from the sensor. The magnitude of this wavelength shift is proportional to the amount of DMR. Increase of mass contributes positively and decrease contributes negatively to the overall response. For the Epic® system, the penetration depth is 150 nm (i.e. DMR that takes place within penetration depth can be detected) (30, 31). 24 h before the assay, HEK293 cells were seeded onto fibronectin-coated 384-well Epic® sensor microplates at a density of 15,000 cells/well and cultured for 20–24 h (37 °C, 5% CO2) to refer to as 27868)) and stimulated for 10 min with 10 μM arrestin translocation inhibitor (compound 1 in Ref. 7, herein dolylmaleimide I (Calbiochem), PP2 (Calbiochem), AG 1478 1.5 h. Cells were treated for 16 h with pertussis toxin (PTX) medium overnight with one change of starvation medium after and then starved in serum-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium for 6 h. Following a 5-min stimulation with increasing agonist concentrations for 45 min and assayed for total IP accumulation, as described in detail under "Experimental Procedures." The following agonists were used: chemerin for ChemR23, nicotinic acid for HM74A, 5-oxo-eicostetraenoic acid for the OXE receptor, interleukin-8 for the chemokine receptor CXCR2, and PGD2 for CRTH2. Data (mean ± S.E., n = 3) are representative of experiments repeated on three separate occasions.

ERK/MAPK Activation—HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or CRTH2 ΔCtail were cultured to confluence and then starved in serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium overnight with one change of starvation medium after 1.5 h. Cells were treated for 16 h with pertussis toxin (PTX) (Calbiochem) or for 50 min with different inhibitors (bisindolylmaleimide I (Calbiochem), PP2 (Calbiochem), AG 1478 (Calbiochem), Iressa (Astra Zeneca), or the CRTH2-specific arrestin translocation inhibitor (compound 1 in Ref. 7, herein referred to as 27868)) and stimulated for 10 min with 10 μM PGD2. Following aspiration, cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen and lysed, and lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis as described previously (32).

Receptor Phosphorylation—Receptor phosphorylation was analyzed as previously described (33, 34). Briefly, confluent HEK293 cells grown in 6-well plates were transfected with 1.5 μg/well plasmid DNA of empty expression vector, CRTH2 WT, CRTH2 ΔCtail, or control protein that is known to be highly phosphorylated (ASAP1) (32); all proteins were FLAG-tagged. 24 h after transfection, cells were washed twice with phosphate-free HEPES-buffered Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium and labeled in the same medium with 0.5 μCi/ml [32P]orthophosphate for 6 h. Following a 5-min stimulation with increasing concentrations of PGD2, cells were lysed in 1 ml of ice-cold radioimmune precipitation buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures (Calbiochem), and FLAG-tagged proteins were isolated with an anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody precoupled to agarose beads (Sigma) under gentle shaking for 3 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with lysis buffer, and proteins were released with SDS sample buffer and a 5-min incubation at 98 °C. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and analyzed using a phosphor imaging system (BAS-2000; Fuji). To control expression of CRTH2 proteins, the same nitrocellulose membranes were probed with an anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (Sigma).

Calculations and Data Analysis—IC50 and EC50 values were determined by nonlinear regression using Prism 4.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Values of the dissociation and inhibition constants (Kd and Ki) were estimated from competition binding experiments using the equations Kd = IC50 - L and Ki = IC50/(1 + L/Kd), where L is the concentration of radioactive ligand and Kd is its dissociation constant.

RESULTS

The C-terminal Tail of CRTH2 Is Important for Cell Surface Expression—Analysis of CRTH2 receptor function in transiently transfected cells is hampered by the poor responses generated upon PGD2 stimulation. In fact, comparison of the signaling capabilities of a set of bona fide Gi/o-selective receptors. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated receptors and a chimeric Gαo/G66DS protein (25) that funnels Gβ-selective receptors to the Gq pathway. Cells were treated with increasing agonist concentrations for 45 min and assayed for total IP accumulation, as described in detail under "Experimental Procedures." The following agonists were used: chemerin for ChemR23, nicotinic acid for HM74A, 5-oxo-eicostetraenoic acid for the OXE receptor, interleukin-8 for the chemokine receptor CXCR2, and PGD2 for CRTH2. Data (mean ± S.E., n = 3) are representative of experiments repeated on three separate occasions.
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FIGURE 1. Signaling efficacy of CRTH2 WT is poor compared with other bona fide Gi/o-selective receptors. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated receptors and a chimeric Gαo/G66DS protein (25) that funnels Gβ-selective receptors to the Gq pathway. Cells were treated with increasing agonist concentrations for 45 min and assayed for total IP accumulation, as described in detail under "Experimental Procedures." The following agonists were used: chemerin for ChemR23, nicotinic acid for HM74A, 5-oxo-eicostetraenoic acid for the OXE receptor, interleukin-8 for the chemokine receptor CXCR2, and PGD2 for CRTH2. Data (mean ± S.E., n = 3) are representative of experiments repeated on three separate occasions.
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tory proteins of the arrestin family (40–43). Herein, we tested the hypothesis that the C terminus of CRTH2 may serve to constrain receptor signaling. To this end, a CRTH2 truncation mutant was created (hereafter referred to as ΔCtail) by cDNA deletion. The mutant protein was terminated at position 317 to remove the major portion of the C-terminal tail except for the domain that contains functionally important residues of the putative cytoplasmic helical structure termed helix 8 (Hx8) (Fig. 2A). Hx8 is adjacent to transmembrane domain VII and thought to be present in most if not all family A GPCRs (44–47). Sequence alignments of ΔCtail with selected GPCRs, crystal structures of which are available (Fig. 2B), and a molecular model of the ΔCtail region forming the end of TM7 (transmembrane 7) and the predicted Hx8 (Fig. 2C) show that this construct retains all of the important elements of Hx8 that permit interaction with TM7, the adjacent intracellular loop 1 as well as the C-terminal domain of Goα. The presence of these structural features is important, since their integrity has been shown to be crucial for proper receptor function (38, 39, 41, 45, 48–53). The effect of C-terminal truncation on CRTH2 surface expression was assessed in whole cell ligand binding assays utilizing [3H]PGD₂. Although surface expression was significantly reduced for the tail-truncated receptor (Fig. 2D), C-tail deletion was accompanied by an increased affinity of the receptor for its agonist PGD₂, which in turn might be indicative of an intrinsic inhibitory role of the C-tail. To verify that the observed reduction in binding sites reflected an actual decrease of total receptor number at the cell surface, WT and mutant receptor expression was analyzed by an ELISA (Fig. 2E). ELISA analysis confirmed a reduction in total receptor numbers for ΔCtail. To facilitate analysis of receptor behavior in subsequent studies, stable cell lines expressing FLAG-tagged WT and ΔCtail receptors were generated, and isolated clones were selected to resemble receptor expression under transient conditions (Fig. 2, F and G). Introduction of N-terminal FLAG tags did not affect [3H]PGD₂ pharmacology of CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail, respectively (not shown). Importantly, decrease in cell surface expression of ΔCtail was not due to deficient cellular expression, because similar total cellular levels of WT and ΔCtail were detected in confocal images and radioligand binding assays on membrane preparations (Fig. 3, A and B).

The CRTH2 C Terminus Is a Negative Regulator of G Protein-dependent Signaling—To assess the effect of C-tail truncation on receptor-G protein coupling, CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail were tested for their ability to stimulate IP production in HEK293 cells transiently transfected with the receptor constructs and a chimeric G protein linking Gₛ-selective receptors to the Gₛₐ phospholipase Cβ pathway (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, IP production of the tail-truncated receptor was significantly increased upon PGD₂ stimulation as compared with the WT receptor. In fact, deletion of the tail appears to paradoxically enhance receptor signaling and suggests that the tail may act to constrain maximum receptor function. Functional superiority of the ΔCtail is not due to altered affinity of PGD₂ for the receptor in the presence of the chimeric G protein (supplemental Fig. 1A) or to altered surface expression due to G protein cotransfection (supplemental Fig. 1B). Enhancement by tail deletion of receptor signaling was also observed in COS-7 cells, excluding the
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FIGURE 2. The CRTH2 C terminus is important for cell surface expression. A, architecture of CRTH2 WT and the CRTH2 ΔCtail construct. The amino acid sequence of the CRTH2 C terminus downstream of the predicted seventh transmembrane domain is listed. Residue numbers of amino acids are shown below the sequence, and the position of truncation is indicated. Omitted amino acids are indicated by a dashed line. CRTH2 ΔCtail is lacking the entire intracellular C terminus except for the important structural features of the putative eighth helix, Hx8. Sequence alignments of the amino acids forming the end of TM7 and Hx8 for bovine (boRHO) and squid (sqRHO) rhodopsin, β₂- and β₂-adrenergic (β₂-AR) receptors, and ΔCtail of CRTH2. Conserved residues of the NPXXY motif of TM7 and Hx8 are boxed. (Residue numbering according to Ref. 78.) C, molecular model of TM7 and Hx8 of CRTH2 ΔCtail (blue traces), constructed from the crystal structures of bovine rhodopsin and the β₂-adrenergic receptor. CRTH2 possesses the CPD motif comparable with the SPD motif of the β₂-adrenergic receptor, in which Ser8.47308 of CRTH2 stabilizes the backbone N–H amide atoms at the beginning of Hx8. This suggests that Hx8 of CRTH2 starts with Pro8.48099 at the same position as the known crystal structures. The putative orientation of the C-terminal helix of Goα (white traces), as modeled from the recently obtained crystal structure of opsin in its G protein-interacting conformation (Protein Data Bank entry 3DQB), is depicted for comparison purposes. The position in which CRTH2 was truncated in the ΔCtail deletion mutant is shown. Thus, all polar amino acids of Hx8 in the vicinity of TM1, -2, and -7; the intracellular loop 1; and the C-terminal helix of Goα are maintained in the ΔCtail construct. D, HEK293 cells transiently transfected with the indicated CRTH2 constructs were assayed for cell surface expression utilizing 1 nm [3H]PGD₂ in homologous competition binding assays on whole cells: pIC₅₀ WT, 7.55 ± 0.13; pIC₅₀ ΔCtail, 8.1 ± 0.16. Data are mean ± S.E. from five independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. E, an ELISA was used to assess cell surface expression in HEK293 cells transiently transfected with the indicated receptor constructs. Data are mean ± S.E. from five independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. F, a saturation binding analysis on HEK293 cells stably expressing the N-terminally FLAG-tagged versions of CRTH2 WT and CRTH2 ΔCtail receptors. Increasing concentrations of [3H]PGD₂ were incubated with cells for 3 h at 4 °C in the absence or presence of 10 μM unlabeled PGD₂. Specific binding was recalculated as fmol/30,000 cells after subtraction of nonspecific binding: Bₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛₛ_s
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FIGURE 3. The cytoplasmic tail of CRTH2 plays an important role in regulating cellular localization. A, HEK293 cells stably expressing either CRTH2 WT (left) or CRTH2 ΔCtail (right) were incubated with anti-FLAG M1 antibody recognizing a FLAG tag fused in frame to the receptor amino terminus. After incubation for 30 min at 37 °C, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, immunostained with a fluorescent secondary antibody, and imaged by confocal microscopy. The antibody “feeding” method assures exclusive labeling of receptors that are initially expressed at the cell surface and does not label intracellular, misfolded receptors. In the basal state, both CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail were detected at the cell surface, whereas in addition the mutant receptor displayed some intracellular localization. The images shown are representative of experiments repeated on three separate occasions. Scale bar, 10 μm. B, expression of CRTH2 WT or ΔCtail receptors was assessed in membrane preparations from transiently transfected HEK293 cells using 1 nM [3H]PGD₂ in the absence (black) and presence (white) of 10 μM PGD₂ to determine total and nonspecific binding, respectively. Data are means ± S.E. of two independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

The possibility that functional superiority of ΔCtail reflects an artifact of a particular cell line (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, comparable signaling properties of WT and ΔCtail were also observed in functional cAMP assays, which do not require the presence of a chimeric Gα protein (Fig. 4C). To verify differential signaling capability of the respective receptor constructs with yet another method, functional GTPγS binding assays were performed using membranes from HEK293 cells, stably expressing CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail, and transiently cotransfected with the G protein β subunit Gα2. Evidently, this biochemical signaling assay also reveals the functional superiority of ΔCtail, since fewer receptors are sufficient to evoke the same extent of G protein activation, as observed for WT receptors (Fig. 4D).

Does ΔCtail Adopt a High Affinity Conformation Even after G Protein Activation?—Exchange of GTP for GDP by the receptor-associated G protein is known to lower the receptor affinity for agonists. To test whether the functional superiority of ΔCtail was due to its inability to switch to a low affinity conformation subsequent to G protein activation, we examined the effects of GTP on [3H]PGD₂ binding to membranes from HEK293 cells transiently transfected with CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail. GTP led to a dose-dependent decrease in high affinity agonist sites in membranes expressing CRTH2 WT (Fig. 4E). However, a comparable decrease in high affinity agonist sites was also observed for ΔCtail. Hence, the functional superiority of ΔCtail is not due to its inability to undergo a conformational change to the low affinity state after G protein activation.

Real Time Recording of CRTH2 Function Is in Agreement with GTPγS Binding Data but Distinct from IP and cAMP Assay Outcomes—Since assays monitoring the accumulation of intracellular second messengers or membrane-based GTPγS binding assays do not permit real time analysis of receptor signaling, we employed the novel resonant wave guide grating biosensor
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FIGURE 5. Real time analysis of receptor function confirms the inhibitory role of the C terminus for cellular signaling. HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or ΔCtail, respectively, were challenged with PGD₂ in the absence and presence of the indicated inhibitors and analyzed for functional activity using real time DMR assays (Corning Epic optical biosensor). DMR biosensor assays detect changes in protein relocation at the sensor surface as a function of time upon ligand stimulation. The optical signatures generated upon ligand addition faithfully reflect the G protein coupling profile of the receptor. A, effect of the Gαi/o inhibitor PTX (100 ng/ml, 18 h) on the specific optical signature elicited by 100 nM PGD₂ in CRTH2 WT-expressing cells. B, 1-h preincubation with TRQ11238, a selective CRTH2 antagonist, abolishes the specific optical signature elicited by 1 μM PGD₂ in CRTH2 WT-expressing cells. C, lack of DMR signal in vector-transfected HEK293 cells upon stimulation with 1 μM PGD₂ or 10 μM of TRQ11238. D and E, CRTH2 WT-expressing (D) or CRTH2 ΔCtail-expressing (E) cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of PGD₂ and agonist-mediated optical signatures were recorded as a measure of receptor functionality. F, concentration-response curves derived from the optical signatures in D and E, utilizing the peak maxima between the 300 and 1000 s time points are superimposable for WT and ΔCtail, respectively. Shown are mean values ± S.E. of representative optical recordings (A–E) or mean values ± S.E. (F) of one experiment, representative for three such experiments, each performed in triplicate.

technology (Corning Epic®) to resolve signaling capability of CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail in real time. In contrast to all other optical studies involving FRET or BRET approaches, this novel biosensor does not require introduction of any label into proteins. As such, this method is noninvasive and label-free and minimizes artifacts due to protein tagging. Activation of GPCRs is known to cause translocation of multiple signaling molecules upon receptor stimulation, and this DMR of cellular proteins upon receptor stimulation, and this DMR of cellular matters is captured as an optical signature. Of note, activation of the major G protein signaling pathways engaged by receptors from different coupling classes (Gαi/o, Gs, and Gq/11) yield pathway-specific optical signatures (54, 55). HEK293 cells transiently transfected with either CRTH2 WT or ΔCtail, respectively, responded with specific optical signatures when exposed to increasing concentrations of PGD₂. These signatures are unequivocally due to activation of the Gs-sensitive CRTH2 receptor, since (i) they are completely abrogated when cells are pretreated with the Gαi/o inhibitor PTX (Fig. 5A); (ii) they are sensitive to inhibition with the selective CRTH2 antagonist TRQ11238, which when given alone, does not induce any change in DMR (Fig. 5, B and C); and (iii) they are absent in cells transfected with empty pcDNA3.1 vector and challenged with PGD₂ or TRQ11238 (Fig. 5C). Real time DMR recordings show that ΔCtail optical signatures were virtually superimposable to those of the WT receptor despite significantly lower cell surface expression (Fig. 5, D–F, and Fig. 2, F and G, for comparison). These results are in agreement with the GTPγS binding data but in apparent contrast to those obtained in second messenger IP and cAMP assays. Thus, monitoring receptor function in real time may imply that signaling superiority of ΔCtail in IP and cAMP assays could be due to a lack of receptor desensitization, which would become apparent under those conditions that allow attenuation of a biological signal to occur in response to sustained agonist stimulation. In agreement with this notion, temporal resolution of IP production reveals that CRTH2 WT but not ΔCtail is exposed to a molecular mechanism limiting further stimulation of downstream signaling and suggests that the C terminus plays a crucial role in determining the balance between ligand-stimulated activity and negative feedback inhibition (supplemental Fig. 2). Nevertheless, DMR data do confirm that the C terminus constrains maximum receptor activation since the magnitude of receptor signaling is (i) identical despite significantly lower expression of ΔCtail (Fig. 5, D–F) and (ii) significantly increased for ΔCtail when cells expressed approximately equal levels of cell surface CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail, respectively (Fig. 6, A and B).

The CRTH2 C Terminus Is Required for PGD₂-mediated Recruitment of β-Arrestin2—It is well established that β-arrestin family members mediate desensitization of many GPCRs by uncoupling the stimulated receptors from their cognate G proteins (56, 57). To test whether CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail differ in their ability to recruit arrestin proteins, both receptors were tested for physical association with β-arrestin2 using BRET assays. HEK293 cells transiently transfected with either CRTH2
WT or ΔCtail fused in frame to Renilla luciferase (energy donor) and β-arrestin2-GFP2 (energy acceptor) were stimulated with increasing concentrations of PGD2, and BRET was monitored. PGD2 induced robust arrestin recruitment by CRTH2 WT, whereas the ability to recruit arrestin was lost by C-terminal truncation (Fig. 7). These data define the C terminus as the major site for physical association with arrestin and imply that lack of arrestin recruitment by the ΔCtail receptor might account for its inability to limit G protein signaling upon sustained agonist exposure (compare Fig. 4, A and B, and supplemental Fig. 2).

**CRTH2 Is Not Phosphorylated upon Agonist Exposure**—We have previously reported that CRTH2 upon stimulation with PGD2 recruits β-arrestin2 in a predominantly G protein-independent manner (7). Since CRTH2 recruits arrestin independently of G protein activation, we investigated whether phosphorylation is a prerequisite for arrestin binding. HEK293 cells transiently expressing FLAG-tagged versions of the receptors were metabolically labeled with 33P and stimulated with either PGD2 or the synthetic agonist Indomethacin. Interestingly, neither basal nor agonist-mediated phosphorylation was detected with a highly sensitive phosphor imager, although both proteins could be visualized with the expected apparent molecular mass bands (~40 versus ~35 kDa) (Fig. 8). This finding was rather surprising, but the same technologies and similar experimental conditions have previously been applied successfully to detect phosphorylation of other proteins, including GPCRs (32, 34, 58–60). This suggests that CRTH2 phosphorylation should have been detected if it had occurred. In agreement with this notion, a positive control for phosphorylation, ASAP1 (32), was successfully phosphorylated in the same experiment. Thus, CRTH2 appears to represent a receptor that utilizes arrestin recruitment but not receptor phosphorylation as a negative feedback mechanism to limit downstream signaling.

**Despite Its Inability to Recruit β-Arrestin2, CRTH2 ΔCtail Remains Competent to Internalize upon Agonist Exposure**—Since CRTH2 WT but not ΔCtail is capable of recruiting β-arrestin2 to the plasma membrane and many receptors internalize in an arrestin-dependent manner, we sought to determine whether the tail-deleted receptor had lost the ability to internalize in response to agonist stimulation. Importantly, lack of internalization of ΔCtail could also contribute to increased functional responsiveness upon agonist challenge and thus explain the divergent signaling properties of both receptors. HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or ΔCtail were incubated with anti-FLAG M1 antibody to label surface receptors only. The cells were then stimulated with 1 μM PGD2 for 30 min, and cellular distribution of receptors was visualized by confocal imaging. Unexpectedly, upon stimulation with PGD2, immunofluorescence was observed within the cells for both CRTH2 WT and the tail-truncated mutant (Fig. 9A).
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\[ \Delta \text{Ctail} \] even appeared to exhibit enhanced receptor internalization as compared with the wild type receptor. Similar results were obtained when internalization was quantified using Biotin protection assays (Fig. 9B). Thus, lack of arrestin recruitment, but not lack of agonist-mediated internalization, may explain the functional superiority of \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \).

**PGD\(_2\)-mediated ERK1/2 Activation by CRTH2 Requires Coupling to G\( \alpha_i \) Proteins and EGF Receptor Transactivation but Does Not Involve Arrestin Proteins or Protein Kinase C**—It is well established that GPCRs are connected to MAPK signaling pathways through G\( \alpha_i \) protein stimulation but in parallel also through a nonclassical, G protein-independent, arrestin-dependent way (61–64). Since CRTH2 is coupled to G\( \alpha_i \)-type G proteins and capable of recruiting arrestin without prior G\( \alpha_i \) activation (7), we tested both mechanisms of CRTH2-dependent MAPK activation and whether the C-terminal tail also constrains this downstream signaling event similar to its impact on G\( \alpha_i \) activation. HEK293 cells stably expressing CRTH2 WT or \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \) were treated with 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) PGD\(_2\) in the absence and presence of various pharmacological inhibitors, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was measured using Western blot analysis of whole cell lysates with phospho-ERK-specific antibodies (Fig. 10). We found CRTH2-stimulated ERK1/2 activation to be (i) G\( \alpha_i \)-dependent, since it is sensitive to PTX treatment; (ii) arrestin-independent, since \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \) remains competent to induce ERK1/2 phosphorylation and since the CRTH2-specific arrestin translocation inhibitor 27868 (compound 1 in Ref. 7) does not impair ERK activation; and (iii) protein kinase C- and Src-independent, since the inhibitors GF109203X and PP2, respectively, are without effect on ERK phosphorylation but (iv) partly dependent on EGF receptor transactivation due to decreased ERK1/2 phosphorylation in the presence of the EGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor Iressa. Despite lower surface expression of \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \) (Fig. 2, F and G), similar intensity of ERK activation was observed for both receptors, suggesting that the C terminus also constrains engagement of the ERK signaling cascade similar to constraining G\( \alpha_i \) signaling.

The C Terminus of CRTH2 Does Not Determine G Protein Signaling Specificity—To investigate if the tail of CRTH2 also acts as a G protein signaling specificity filter and whether signaling to alternative G\( \alpha \) proteins may be similarly enhanced as compared with G\( \alpha_i \) signaling, HEK293 cells transiently transfected with CRTH2 WT or \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \), respectively, were tested for their ability to engage the three major G protein signaling pathways: G\( \alpha_i \), G\( \alpha_o \), and G\( \alpha_q \). To analyze and compare signaling capabilities of the wild type and mutant receptor, we took advantage of the novel DMR assays (Corning Epic® Biosensor), which allow monitoring of all three major G protein pathways in real time within a single assay platform (54, 55). In addition, classical second messenger and biochemical GTP\( \gamma \)S assays were performed to support and validate the optical signatures obtained in the DMR assays (Fig. 4 and data not shown). It was apparent from DMR assays that deletion of the receptor C terminus yields optical signatures similar to those obtained for CRTH2 WT, suggesting that the engaged signaling pathways for WT and \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \) are identical and reflect stimulation of G\( \alpha_i/o \) proteins (Fig. 11A; compare also optical recordings in Fig. 5, D and E). In agreement with this notion, both signatures were abrogated in the presence of PTX, indicating that they result from G\( \alpha_i/o \) activation (compare Figs. 5A and 11A). Furthermore, neither CRTH2 WT nor \( \Delta \text{Ctail} \) were competent to engage with G\( \alpha_o \) or G\( \alpha_q \) signaling cascades, since no apparent activation of the latter pathways can be detected in the optical signatures. For means of comparison, optical signatures obtained upon stimulating the G\( \alpha_i \) and G\( \alpha_q \) signaling cascades are also depicted (Fig. 11, B and C). Our data clearly show that the receptor C terminus attenuates maximum receptor activation but does not carry any information to activate G proteins selectively.

**DISCUSSION**

G protein-coupled receptors are endowed with C termini that vary greatly in length and sequence. In many cases, C termini serve as docking sites for regulatory proteins, such as those of the arrestin family (65, 66). However, in many instances, tails appear to be dispensable, or of only modest relevance for G protein coupling (42, 67, 68). The most intriguing finding revealed by our study is that the C terminus of CRTH2 is utilized as a molecular brake to limit the extent of CRTH2-triggered cellular responses. In fact, it is conceivable that the C-terminal tail prevents the heptahelical core of CRTH2 from engagement with G\( \alpha_i \) and its downstream signaling cascade.

Recently, the second extracellular loop domain of the C5a receptor, the closest phylogenetic neighbor of CRTH2, was discovered as a negative regulator of receptor function, most likely...
Silencing of CRTH2 Signaling by Its C-terminal Tail

FIGURE 9. CRTH2 Ctail is incompetent to recruit β-arrestin2 but remains competent to internalize. A. HEK293 cells stably expressing either CRTH2 WT (top) or CRTH2 ΔC-tail (bottom) fused to an N-terminal FLAG tag, were "antibody-fed" for 30 min, followed by 30 min of either no treatment (left) or incubation with 10 μM PGD₂ (right). All cells were then permeabilized, immunostained with a fluorescent secondary antibody, and imaged by confocal microscopy. Scale bar, 10 μm. B, biotinylation protection assay performed on the same clones as used in A. Cells were treated with membrane-impermeable biotin for 30 min at 4 °C labeling only cell surface receptors (100%). The cells were subsequently incubated for 20 min at 37 °C before adding 10 μM PGD₂, or left untreated (NT) for another 30 min. Noninternalized, surface-bound biotin was stripped away (Strip), and receptors were immunoprecipitated, subjected to Western blot, and visualized using a streptavidin overlay. The blots are representative of two independent experiments.

by stabilizing the inactive receptor conformation (69). Our study identified the CRTH2 C terminus as a key determinant that constrains receptor activation. This notion is supported by the fact that the tail-deleted receptor shows higher affinity toward the agonist PGD₂ (Fig. 2, D and F) and that it paradoxically displays enhanced signaling in a variety of functional assays monitoring activation of G protein–dependent pathways (Figs. 4–6 and 10). As such, our results highlight the role of the C terminus in allosterically regulating CRTH2 and thus provide evidence that negative regulation of GPCR function is not only brought about by kinase-mediated phosphorylation and arrestin recruitment but also by the receptor itself. Hence, a receptor can take advantage of its C-terminal tail to sterically interdict coupling to G proteins.

C-terminal serines and threonines have been implicated in regulating GPCR desensitization and internalization. Princi-
without being competent to physically associate with β-arrestin2 (Fig. 7). CRTH2 therefore represents a receptor, which is not only competent to internalize without prior phosphorylation but also without prior arrestin recruitment. A similar observation has also been made for the muscarinic M2 receptor (73,74) and for selected phosphorylation-deficient mutants of the somatostatin sst2A receptor, which remain competent to internalize despite their inability to undergo ligand-mediated phosphorylation and arrestin recruitment, respectively (75).

Although β-arrestin2 recruitment is not necessary for CRTH2 internalization, PGD2 stimulation induces arrestin translocation. However, this process does not require prior receptor phosphorylation. Phosphorylation-independent arrestin recruitment has recently also been observed for β2-adrenergic, angiotensin II AT1, and parathyroid hormone receptors (76). Our data therefore suggest that arrestin translocation and receptor phosphorylation may be completely separable molecular events and provide additional support for a model in which multiple receptor conformations possess distinct signaling properties that are differentially regulated.

Binding of arrestin proteins to phosphorylated receptors has long been considered as a means by which G protein activation is turned off. Recent evidence, however, indicates that arrestin binding may also serve as a parallel pathway for signal transduction, particularly for initiation of the MAPK signaling cascade (56). We have recently demonstrated that CRTH2 is also competent to recruit arrestin proteins but that this process does not require G protein activation (7). Herein, we demonstrate that CRTH2 is competent to engage with MAPK ERK1/2 signaling but that activation of this pathway is accomplished through Goi but not arrestin proteins. Hence, CRTH2 appears to recruit arrestin solely for desensitization purposes but not for propagating downstream signaling to the ERK1/2 cascade. We cannot rule out, however, the possibility that any yet unknown GPCR-mediated signaling events occur as a consequence of arrestin recruitment and that arrestin recruitment does not only serve the purpose of turning off receptor signaling. Nevertheless, the inability of the ΔCtail to physically interact with β-arrestin2 may likely contribute to the enhanced signaling capacity of this receptor variant.

Recently, a new cardioprotective signaling pathway has been identified for the β1-adrenergic receptor utilizing arrestin proteins for trans-activation of the EGFR receptor that in turn induces MAPK activation (77). Our study revealed that CRTH2-mediated ERK1/2 stimulation resulted, at least in part, from EGFR receptor transactivation, as evidenced by using the EGFR receptor tyrosine kinase-specific inhibitor Iressa (Fig. 10). However, we can rule out involvement of arrestin proteins in EGFR receptor transactivation, since both CRTH2 WT and ΔCtail, the latter incompetent to recruit arrestin, remain competent to engage the ERK1/2 pathway. Furthermore, the presence of PTX, an inhibitor of Goi protein function, abrogated ERK phosphorylation, whereas Iressa only partly diminished it. Since EGFR receptor transactivation is a downstream event of Goi signaling, intrinsic inhibition of CRTH2 function is also operative for this branch of the kinase signaling network.

In summary, the experiments described herein provide the first detailed investigation of the role of the CRTH2 C terminus in receptor localization and signaling. Our data show that the C terminus is critically important for membrane localization and that it drives recruitment of β-arrestin2. Concurrently, the tail acts as an inhibitor of Goi and its downstream signaling cascade. CRTH2 is not detectably phosphorylated; nor does it require phosphorylation for arrestin recruitment or arrestin recruitment for internalization. As such, our study reveals that the molecular mechanisms governing CRTH2 receptor signaling and function are distinct from those characteristic for many members of the rhodopsin family of GPCRs.
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