Hydrophobic amino acids at the cytoplasmic ends of helices 3 and 6 of rhodopsin concomitantly modulate transducin activation
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Introduction

Rhodopsin is the visual photoreceptor present in rod cells and responsible for dim light vision in the vertebrate retina [1,2]. This receptor has seven transmembrane (TM) helices and is a prototypical member of the G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) superfamily [3–5], being the only member whose structure was resolved by X-ray crystallography for almost a decade [6], when other GPCRs structures were also solved [7,8]. This visual receptor has a unique structural features, such as having its ligand, cis-retinal, covalently bound through a protonated Schiff-base linkage to K296.43 (where the superscript indicates the consensus numbering according to Ballesteros and Weinstein [9]). Retinal isomerization, upon light absorption, induces structural changes in the helical bundle that are transmitted to the cytoplasmic domain where the interaction with transducin (Gt) occurs. Upon physical binding to rhodopsin, Gt is activated and initiates the visual phototransduction cascade. Structural and functional studies on rhodopsin, and other GPCRs, provide clues about common key sequence motifs in this family of receptors and allow elucidating the molecular bases of the activation mechanism [10,11].

Muscarinic receptors are prototypical class I GPCRs – belonging to the same subfamily as rhodopsin – and are located in the parasympathic nervous system. The study of muscarinic receptors is of outstanding pharmacological interest because they have been involved in a wide variety of physiological and pathophysiological processes like Alzheimer and Parkinson [12,13]. Muscarinic receptors have an orthosteric site for its native ligand acetylcholine within the TM region. The binding of agonists promotes receptor activation through specific conformational changes that are ultimately transmitted to the intracellular domain. The newly adopted conformation of the TM helices, and cytoplasmic loops presenting its recognition sites, facilitates G protein binding and activation [14,15]. There are 5 muscarinic receptor subtypes differentially expressed and associated with various types of G proteins and second messenger systems [15–20]. M3 muscarinic receptor is preferentially coupled to the Gq family [21].

The knowledge of the structural details accompanying the transition between the inactive to the active states of GPCRs and the
subsequent transduction to signaling proteins has increased dramatically in the last years. In the case of rhodopsin, different studies showed two binding clefts in Gt for activated rhodopsin: one in the C-terminal region of the a subunit (GtαCt) and the other in the farnesylated C-terminal end of the γ subunit [22–25]. Early mutagenesis studies proposed that GtαCt was recognized by the second and third cytoplasmic domains, and specifically by residues Y1385.51, V1375.52, V1385.53, V1395.54, E2476.32, L2486.31, E2496.32, V2506.33, and T2546.34 [26]. The release of two ligand-free opsin crystal structures that contain features of the putative active states [27,28], and in particular the structure of opsin in its G-protein-interacting conformation, paved the way towards a better understanding of the specific roles of each specific residue with regard to G-protein activation and signal transmission. The structures confirmed the outward movement of the cytoplasmic end of TM6 [29] relative to the TM core that was known to occur upon Metarhodopsin II (Metall) formation. This movement, a conserved feature of this family of receptors [30], allows that residues involved in Gt activation become exposed [31–33]. GtαCt has been proposed to interact with residues in the C-terminal end of TM6, exposed as a result of the helical movement. In particular, it has been hypothesized that hydrophobic contacts would control Gt–rhodopsin affinity interaction [34]. V250 would be involved in the slight rotation of TM6 in Metarhodopsin I (MetaI) and subsequent transfer to a more hydrophobic environment, in the interface between TM3 and TM5, upon further movement of TM6. V2275.62 was also found to be involved in the movement of TM5 in MetaI triggered by the ring of the isomerized retinal. This movement in TM5 would precede the larger scale movement of TM6 in Metall [35].

It is well known that the cytoplasmic segments of GPCRs, particularly the second and the third cytoplasmic domains – including the loops and adjacent helix ends, and to some extent the C-terminal tail of the receptor –, play a critical role in the interaction with G-proteins and in the molecular mechanism of subsequent activation and signaling. Different studies allowed the identification of rhodopsin–Gt interacting sites [28,36,37] as well as the M3 muscarinic domains involved in Gq recognition [37–41]. The second and third cytoplasmic domains and proximal membrane region of the C-terminus are important in this Gq recognition. These studies showed that Y5.62, in the rat M3 receptor, is essential for Gq activation. This residue is conserved in M1, M3 and M5 receptors, which signal via Gq, whereas M2 and M4 receptors, signaling via Gi/iα, exhibit Ser at the equivalent position. It was hypothesized that Y5.62 amino acid could form, together with A6.33, A6.34, L6.37 and S6.38, a site for Gq recognition [39]. Additionally, it was described that the introduction of C-II loop M3 in a M2 receptor background increased phosphoinositol hydrolysis. In particular, four amino acid residues of C-II M3 muscarinic loop, S1683.53, R1713.56, S6.38, and T2546.34, were found to be critical for Gq specificity [39]. A recent study showed that it was possible to modulate G-protein selectivity by engineering the cytoplasmic domains of the membrane receptor [42]. In particular, photoactivation of rhodopsin–β2-adrenergic chimeric receptors made on a rhodopsin construct containing the cytoplasmic domains of the β2-adrenergic receptor resulted in cAMP synthesis, supporting the hypothesis of a possible common mechanism for all Class I GPCRs. In the present study we have used an analogous approach using the rhodopsin sequence as the main core and replacing amino acids at the second and third cytoplasmic domains by those of the human M3 receptor, with the aim of pinpointing specific amino acids in these regions involved in the formation of defined structural domains backing G-protein recognition specificity.

Rhodopsin/M3 mutants showed chromophore formation and photobleaching behavior similar to wild-type (WT) rhodopsin. The hydroxylamine reactivity was not affected suggesting that the mutants had a dark conformation similar to the WT receptor. V1385.51, 4CII6.33–38, 5CII6.32/6.33–38, 1CII–5CII and 2CII–5CII mutants (Table 1) showed a significant reduction in Gt activation. Interestingly, mutations at the cytoplasmic end of helix 6 partially restored the Gt activation capability of the receptor suggesting a coupling of the second and third cytoplasmic loops in rhodopsin–Gt optimal interaction. The results provide experimental evidence that residues V1385.51, V2275.62, V2506.33, V2546.37 and I2556.38 located in the TM5 segments adjacent to C-II and C-III rhodopsin loops participate in receptor activation and/or Gt binding. The hydrophobic interactions involving these residues would be an important factor mediating rhodopsin–Gt interaction. Furthermore, based on Gt activation recovery of combined mutants, a conjoined action of TM3 and TM6 helices is proposed.

Materials and methods

Materials

11-cis-retinal was a gift of Prof. P.P. Philippov (Moscow State University, Russia) and Prof. A.R. de Lera (University of Vigo, Spain). Purified mAb rho-1D4 was obtained from the National Culture Center, Minneapolis and was coupled to CNBr-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). n-Dodecyl-β-d-maltoside (dodecyl maltoside, DM) was purchased from Anatrace. COS-1 cells (ATCC No. CRL-1650) were from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). CompleteTM protease inhibitor mixture was from Roche Molecular Biochemicals and was used at a concentration of 1 tablet/75 ml of buffer. Purified Gi/qα subunit chimera was supplied by Calbiochem.

Expression and purification of WT and mutant rhodopsins

WT and mutant opsin genes were expressed in transiently transfected monkey kidney cells (COS-1) as described [44]. After the addition of 30 μM 11-cis-retinal in the dark, the transfected COS-1 cells were solubilized in 1% DM, and the proteins were purified by immunoaffinity chromatography using the Rho-1D4 monoclonal antibody [45]. Rhodopsins were eluted in 2 mM Na2HPO4.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V138S</td>
<td>C-II</td>
<td>V138S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K141R</td>
<td>C-II</td>
<td>K141R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H152R</td>
<td>C-II</td>
<td>H152R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V138S/K141R/H152R</td>
<td>C-III</td>
<td>V138S/K141R/H152R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V227Y</td>
<td>C-III</td>
<td>V227Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
<td>C-III</td>
<td>V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
<td>C-III</td>
<td>V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V138S/V227Y/V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
<td>C-II/C-III</td>
<td>C-II/C-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V138K/V227Y/V250/525/1V254/1V255S</td>
<td>C-II/C-III</td>
<td>C-II/C-III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pH 6, 0.05% DM and the completely folded fractions of these mutants were the ones used in this study.

**UV–visible absorption spectra of WT and C-II, C-III and C-II/C-III mutant rhodopsins**

Spectra were performed at 20 °C with a Varian Cary 100Bio spectrophotometer equipped with water-jacketed cuvette holders connected to a circulating water bath. All spectra were recorded with a bandwidth of 2 nm. For photobleaching experiments samples were illuminated with a 150-watt fiber optic light equipped with a >495-nm long-pass filter for 10 s, and the corresponding bleached spectrum were recorded immediately after illumination. Acidification of the samples was carried out with 10 µl 1 N HCl (1/10 dilution).

**Thermal stability in the dark and hydroxylamine reactivity for WT and rhodopsin mutants**

Rhodopsin thermal stability in the dark was followed by monitoring the loss of the maximum absorbance band in the visible region ($A_{500nm}$) as a function of time, at a constant temperature (55 °C). Scans were collected every 5 min and data were normalized and fit to single exponential functions using SigmaPlot to derive the $t_{1/2}$ values. Here, thermal stability stands for the stability of the chromophore covalently linked to the opsin apoprotein in the binding pocket. The overall thermal stability of the protein should be determined by other approaches. In any case, our experiments provide an indirect measurement of the degree of conformational similarity for dark structures of the rhodopsin mutants in comparison to the WT receptor. Hydroxylamine treatment was performed by adding this reagent (pH 7.0) to the sample to a final concentration of 30 mM at 20 °C. Hydroxylamine reactivity was determined by monitoring overt time the decrease of $A_{500nm}$.

**Meta II stability for the C-II, C-III and C-II/C-III rhodopsin mutants**

In order to determine the stability for the rhodopsin mutants active state, we monitored the stability of the Schiff Base covalent binding between the retinal and K296 [46]. To do that, rhodopsin samples purified in 2 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, pH 6, 0.05% DM were illuminated for 10 s and acidified with 1% 2 N H$_2$SO$_4$ at different intervals of time. $A_{540nm}$ corresponding to the remaining Schiff-base linkage [47], was recorded overt time, plotted, and $t_{1/2}$ values were determined from the curves and compared to that for WT rhodopsin.

**G-protein activation assay**

Gt activation levels were determined by measuring the binding of radioactive GTP$^{35}$S to Gt molecules induced by WT and mutant rhodopsins as described previously [48,49]. Briefly, the reaction mixture, containing 1 µM Gt or Gt/ops chimera, 20 nM rhodopsin, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM DTT, 0.0125% DM, and 3 µM GTP$^{35}$S, was bleached for 30 s by using a 150-watt power source with a 495 nm cutoff filter. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 1 h, and the reactions were stopped by the addition of 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA. Unbound GTP$^{35}$S was removed by microfiltration. The amount of GTP$^{35}$S bound to the G-protein was determined by using a TRI-CARB 2100TR scintillation counter from Packard Instrument Co. Basal Gt activation was determined as described above but keeping samples in the dark.

**Molecular modeling**

The computational models of inactive rhodopsin mutants were constructed on the basis of crystal structure 1GZM [50], whereas the active models relied on the opsin structure crystallized with a peptide based on GtεCT, PDB entry: 3DQB [28]. The conformations of the mutated side-chains were selected based on a library of rotamers implemented in PyMol [51] All systems were energy minimized in bulk using the Amber99sb force field [52]. All figures were created using PyMol [51].

**Results**

**Characterization of the C-II mutants**

V138S, K141R, H152R, and triple mutant V138S/K141R/H152R (3CII) were purified in 2 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, pH 6 containing 0.05% DM and spectra were recorded in order to determine their final protein yield. All C-II mutants showed a lower yield, especially for the triple mutant 3CII with a fifteen-fold lower yield, when compared to WT rhodopsin (Fig. 1B, Table 2). The photobleaching and acidification behavior of the purified mutant rhodopsins were also WT-like, suggesting that these mutants underwent the same photoactivation pathway than WT rhodopsin (data not shown). In the dark, they all showed a very similar visible absorption band with a $\lambda_{max}$ around 500 nm, indicating that the introduction of these mutations did not affect the retinal binding pocket configuration (Fig. 1B, Table 2). However, thermal stability in the dark state – measured at 55 °C – showed differences with regard to WT. All the single mutants showed a slightly increased stability in the dark, especially in the case of H152R. Upon combination of these single mutants in the 3CII triple mutant, the resulting receptor showed a decrease in the thermal stability. All C-II mutants showed no hydroxylamine sensitivity in the dark, reflecting a compact structure around the Schiff-base linkage (data not shown). These experiments, taken together, indicated that the mutations introduced did not cause gross structural perturbations in the rhodopsin dark structure compared to the WT. In addition, we also determined the Metall stability of the purified mutants by means of a fluorescence spectroscopic assay which measures retinal release (Table 2). V138S mutant showed Metall stability similar to WT, and a slight decrease was observed in H152R mutant (0.8). On the other hand, K141R mutant showed only a Metall stability of 0.6 when compared to WT, meaning that the introduction of this mutation destabilized the active receptor conformation (values are relative to those for WT rhodopsin taken as 1.00).

**Characterization and Meta II stability of C-III mutants**

V227Y, V250A/T251A/V254L/I255S (4CIII), and V227Y/AAL5 (5CIII) mutants were expressed and immunopurified as described in the *Experimental Procedures* section. These single and multiple mutants showed similar yield and spectroscopic features to those of WT rhodopsin (Fig. 1C, Table 2) with the $\lambda_{max}$ around 500 nm. These results indicate that these mutants showed a dark state and a retinal binding pocket similar to WT protein. The thermal stability (Table 2) and hydroxylamine reactivity in the dark for these mutants were also determined. None of the C-III mutants showed chemical reactivity towards hydroxylamine, indicating that the receptor structure around the Schiff-base linkage is compact for these mutants (data not shown). On the other hand, single mutant V227Y, showed a slightly decrease on the thermal stability in the dark (0.8), and a dramatic decrease was observed in both 4CIII and 5CIII mutants (around 0.2 in both cases). One of the explanations for the acute changes detected in the dark receptor...
conformation stability on these multiple mutants could be the introduction of a large number of mutations on the same protein construct. On the other side, we measured Metall stability for these intracellular C-III mutants and we did not observe changes in active receptor conformation stability. However, we noticed that when alone, V227Y mutant showed a slightly decrease on the stability of its active conformation (0.8), whereas when combined with 4-CIII mutant, the stability increased 1.5-fold.

Characterization and Metall stability of the C-II-C-III mutants

C-II and C-III mutants were combined with the aim to determine if these C-II and C-III amino acids formed a common site for G-protein recognition and/or activation. V138S/V227Y/V250A/T251A/V254L/I255S (1CII-5CIII) and V138S/K141R/V227Y/V250A/T251A/V254L/I255S (2CII-5CIII) combined mutants were regenerated with 11-cis-retinal and showed a sixfold lower protein yield, but chromophore regeneration levels similar to WT (Fig. 1D, Table 2). These mutants showed no hydroxylamine sensitivity in the dark, and their photobleaching and acidification properties were very similar to those of WT rhodopsin (data not shown). Intrinsic chromophore thermal stability of the 1CII-5CIII and 2CII-5CIII mutants was determined by monitoring the decrease of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values at 55°C. Basal Gt activation is shown between brackets.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rhodopsin</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Dark state thermal stability</th>
<th>Metall stability</th>
<th>Gt activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00 (0.1 ± 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V138S</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.07 (0.02 ± 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K141R</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.07 (0.01 ± 0.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H152R</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.02 (0.03 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3CII</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04 (0.06 ± 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V227Y</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.02 (0.05 ± 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4CIII</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.05 (0.03 ± 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5CIII</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.15 (0.09 ± 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1CII-5CIII</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.01</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04 (0.06 ± 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2CII-5CIII</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.01</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04 (0.06 ± 0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N.D.: not determined.
Gt activation for the C-II, C-III and C-II/C-III mutants

The Gt activation experiments were carried out with detergent-solubilized and purified rhodopsins in 2 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 6.0, 0.05% DM by means of a radioactive binding assay (Fig. 2 and Table 2). After light activation, K141R, H152R mutants showed Gt activation levels comparable to WT but V138S mutant showed up to a 50% reduction in Gt activation. It was previously reported that V138, together with Y136, V137 and V139, forms a crucial cluster for Gt interaction and mutations in these amino acids were expected to significantly reduce Gt activation [26,29,53]. The level of Gt activation for the triple mutant 3CII was 1.1 (with regard to WT) indicating that the combination of V138S, K141R and H152R single mutants restores the V138S phenotype. We also analyzed basal Gt activation, i.e. Gt activation in the dark, by the purified mutants. 3CII showed a significant increase in Gt activation in the dark (0.4) with regard to the basal Gt activation for the WT (0.1) suggesting that some of these mutations can cause structural changes in the receptor resulting in a partially active receptor in the dark. In the case of the 3CII mutant, the basal activity seems to be related to the contributions of the K141R and H152R mutants. In this case, Arg introduction at C-II would cause a conformational change in this intracellular loop allowing the interaction with Gt in the dark.

The ability of intracellular C-III loop mutants to activate Gt was also analyzed. A reduction of about 30% on Gt activation was observed for mutant V227Y (0.7). In the case of the 4CIII mutant the maximum activation value was found to be 0.3 and for the combined 5CIII mutant, this was dramatically reduced (0.1). In the M3 muscarinic receptor, the homologous amino acids have been described to be in close contact possibly forming a common site for G protein interaction [39]. We also examined the effect of C-II and C-III conjugated mutations on Gt activation capacity. 1CII-5CIII mutant showed a maximal Gt activation value of 0.04 with regard to WT protein. The V138S single mutant showed a 50% reduction in Gt activation, and the 5CIII mutant did not show any detectable functionality. Thus, combining these mutations results in a non-functional receptor. On the other side, 2CII-5CIII mutant presented a Gt activation level of 0.4 after photoactivation. R141 K partially restored Gt activation, probably as a result of a structural change in the 1CII-5CIII mutant receptor directly affecting the rhodopsin–Gt interaction pattern. No detectable increase in the Gi/α protein activation was detected over basal WT rhodopsin levels for any of the mutants studied (data not shown).

**Fig. 2.** Gt activation for the WT rhodopsin and the C-II, C-III, and C-II/C-III rhodopsin mutants. The activation of Gt for the single and multiple mutants studied here was determined by the incorporation of radioactive GTPγS in Gt upon rhodopsin photoactivation. WT rhodopsin and rhodopsin–M3 muscarinic mutants were purified in 2 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 6.0, 0.05% DM and mixed to a final concentration of 20 nM with 1 μM Gt in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 0.0125% DM, and 3 μM GTPγS. Upon illumination, samples were incubated at room temperature and the reaction was quenched by the addition of EDTA. Free GTPγS was washed by filtration and the GTPγS bound to Gt amount was measured. Data were compared to WT rhodopsin. Basal Gt activation was performed as indicated above but keeping the samples always in the dark. (A) Gt activation for the C-II rhodopsin–M3 muscarinic mutants in the dark (black) and the light (grey). (B) Gt activation upon illumination of the C-III rhodopsin–M3 muscarinic mutants. (C) Basal Gt activation (dark, in black) and after photoactivation (light, in grey) of the C-II/C-III rhodopsin–M3 muscarinic mutants.

**Modeling of the second and third cytoplasmic domains**

Fig. 3, shows a model of the opsin – GtxCt complex that is in agreement with the current knowledge regarding GPCR-G protein interactions [28,37,54]. Positions mutated in the TM5–6 region (C-III domain mutations) lie close to GtxCt, whereas those at the TM3–4 segment (C-II domain mutants) exhibit contacts with either the C-terminus or the N5 helix and the adjacent β-sheet of the Gtx subunit. A more detailed localization of residues V138S, K141R and H152R, both in the dark-state and in the Gt-interacting conformation opsin crystal structures, is shown in Fig. 4A and B. In the inactive model, V138 flanks the ionic lock but does not participate in hydrophobic interactions with other helices, whereas in the active model, V138 interacts with L72 at the most C-terminal part of C-I, but not with the highly conserved hydrophobic residues of GtxCt. Table 3 summarizes some of the features derived from the molecular models suggesting that the close proximity to GtxCt requires a small side-chain at the 138 position. K141 forms hydrogen bond interactions with residues in the C-III loop in the inactive form and probably contacts E342 and N343 (Gt residues are displayed in italics for clarity) in the active state. This position contains polar/charged residues in most class I GPCRs. The model in Fig. 3 suggests that K141R may be involved in the contact of the C2 helix with the Cgt β-sheet of the Gtx subunit in addition to the C-terminus. H152 does not face Gt although it interacts with two aromatic residues at the C-II loop both in the inactive and in the G-protein interacting opsin structure that are close to E134. However, none of these interactions seems to be functionally important as H152R did not show any significant effect on Gt activation.

Localization of residues V227Y, V250F, T251I, V254F, and I255S is shown in a corresponding molecular model (Fig. 4C and D). In the inactive state, T251 and V254 are strongly packed to TM3, V250 faces TM2 and I255 points to TMS. T251 is known to be part of the ionic-lock between TMS 3 and 6 [49],
but interacts also with L226 
V227 does not interact directly with TM5. In the Gt-interacting opsin, all residues except V250 and V254 are facing out of the bundle and do not contact Gtα. Thus, V227, T251 and V254 form a large surface of interaction between TMs 5 and 6. Importantly, V250 binds L344 and L349 of Gt via hydrophobic interactions (Gt residues are displayed in italics for clarity). These correspond to two fully conserved residues in all G-proteins, whereas V254 seems to be too short to contact Gt even though its side chain is facing inside.

Discussion

The rhodopsin mutants at the second and third cytoplasmic domains presented here, showed normal chromophore formation, no hydroxylamine sensitivity in the dark and photobleaching and acidification properties very similar to those of WT (Fig. 1, Table 2). None of the single mutants studied here showed dark state instability at 55 °C, but when these single mutants were combined (4CIII, 5CIII, 1CII-5CIII and 2CII-5CIII), their stability was dramatically reduced (Table 2). Regarding the active state, only the single K141R mutant exhibited a decreased stability, although without affecting its ability to activate Gt.

So, the results suggest that these mutants follow the same photoactivation cascade as the WT but the introduction of these mutations affects the helical packing and reduce the stability of the inactive state. With the exception of V138S, all C-II mutants exhibited a similar degree of Gt activation than the WT. V138 provides hydrophobic contacts at the junction of TM3 and loop C2, according to the recent crystal structure of opsin in its G-protein interacting conformation [28]. Additionally, it has previously been described that this amino acid, together with residues Y136, V137 and V139 would form a common important site for Gt interaction and/or activation [26]. We showed that V138S causes a 50% reduction in receptor functionality as a result of this motif perturbation.

In a comparative study between rhodopsin and M2 muscarinic receptor C-II domain sequences, the related mutant V138C was found to markedly decrease the levels of Gt activation (75%) when V137 was simultaneously mutated to Phe. The effect was attributed to the different molar volumes of the two amino acids [36]. GtαCt exhibits two sides, a hydrophobic one that contacts the ends of TMs 5 and 6 and another, which contains polar and charged residues. V138 does not face the hydrophobic part, and consequently the effects of the V138S mutant are likely to be associated to the network of hydrogen bonds that connects the neighboring K141 with E342, N343 and E346 in GtαCt. Thus, the 2-fold decrease in activation for the mutant can be understood from the ability of S138 to interfere with such a network. This would be compatible with the lack of effect previously reported for the V138C mutant [55]. The reduced Gt activation shown by V138S could be reversed when it was conjugated with the K141R mutation. The increased volume of the Arg side-chain would compensate for the smaller Ser volume in creating an optimal site for Gt coupling. The combined mutant C3II did not show the same effects as the single V138S mutant. So, even though the specific details are not fully understood, this behavior may be explained by the fact that both V138S and K141R mutants are affecting the network of interactions cited below.

Gt activation assay for C-III mutants showed an important reduction in receptor functionality. V227Y single mutant showed a 25% decrease of Gt activation, whereas the combined 4CIII mutant decreased up to a 75%. The crystal structure-based models suggest that activation observed for V227Y would be caused by alterations at the interface between TMs 5 and 6. In particular, one possibility is that V227Y causes an alteration in K231, the counterpart of E247 in the active state, which is located one turn above [28]. Regarding 4CIII, the individual contributions of each amino acid is difficult to determine because the result would be influenced by the change in inter-helical interactions in both the inactive and active states as well as in their ability to interact with the G-protein. In a preceding study, the individual mutation of the four residues involved in 4CIII to Cys resulted in proteins that had a slightly larger activation (1.1–1.4 times) than the WT [55]. The crystal structures do not reveal a specific role for residue R252, a fact that, together with the present results, suggests that V250A and T251A are critical contributions to the 4CIII mutant behavior. Indeed, the crystal structure of opsin bound to GtαCt showed that V250 interacts with the two fully conserved Leu residues in the G-proteins, L344 and L349, a fact that is also supported by the presence of a hydrophobic residue at this position in 91% of human non-olfactory Class A GPCRs [56]. The lack of significant increase for Gt/iq activation, when compared to WT rhodopsin, for any of the mutants, indicates that the mutations introduced are not enough for changing the specificity for the G-protein. This is consistent with the previous finding that it is necessary to replace the complete second and third intracellular loops for partially changing the selectivity for the G-protein [42].
Regarding T251\textsuperscript{6.34}, additionally to being involved in the ionic-lock in the inactive state, it is also linked, in the active state, to the E247-K231 salt-bridge described above. The additive decrease of V227Y and 4CIII in the combined mutant is in agreement with the existence of two independent effects, which result in virtually no G\textsubscript{t} activation. This finding suggests that V227, together with residues in TM6 (V250, T251, V254 and I255), forms a G\textsubscript{t} recognition site and that the conjugation of these mutations completely alters the conformation of this interacting site resulting in a non-functional receptor. In the case of the combined mutations in C-II and C-III we observed that 1CII-5CIII mutant did not show any ability to activate G\textsubscript{t}, whereas the addition of K141R (2CII-5CIII) modulated the rhodopsin–G\textsubscript{t} interaction pattern in a way that partially restored the ability to activate the G protein, suggesting that K141R could be compensating by the mutations at the C-III cytoplasmic loop.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>B-W</th>
<th>Main contact in rhodopsin</th>
<th>Main contact in opsin</th>
<th>% Class I human</th>
<th>% (rod) opsins</th>
<th>% Class I human</th>
<th>% (rod) opsins</th>
<th>Pref. Type in Class I human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V138S</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>TM6 G\textsubscript{a}Ct</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K141R</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>C-III loop</td>
<td>G\textsubscript{a}Ct</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H152R</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>C-II loop</td>
<td>G\textsubscript{a}Ct</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V227Y</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>TM6</td>
<td>TM6</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V250A</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>TM3</td>
<td>G\textsubscript{a}Ct</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T251A</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>TM3</td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V254L</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>TM3</td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I255S</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>TM5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

Overall, our results indicate that hydrophobic residues in TMs 3–6 of rhodopsin loops are involved in G\textsubscript{t} activation by directly binding to the C-terminus or by modulating the interactions between TMs 3 and 6. We show that hydrophobic amino acids V138\textsuperscript{3.53}, V227, V250\textsuperscript{6.33}, V254\textsuperscript{6.37} and I255\textsuperscript{6.38} play a crucial role in G\textsubscript{t} activation. These residues, which change their environment during the transition to the active state, form a G\textsubscript{t} recognition site together with additional residues such as V137 and V139 [26]. These hydrophobic interactions play a critical role in efficient rhodopsin–G\textsubscript{t} coupling and in modulating TMs movements. Similar kind of hydrophobic interactions, involving conserved hydrophobic residues [56], could also determine the specificity of other GPCR-G protein complexes, in addition to well established electrostatic interactions [49].
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